#### RIC 2005 – Session G4: Risk Informed

#### RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS



Michael Tschiltz Chief

Probabilistic Safety Assessment Branch Division of Systems Safety Analysis Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation March 9, 2005

#### PRA QUALITY

- For a given application, PRA Quality is determined by the appropriateness of
  - Scope (internal and external initiating events, full power and low power and shutdown operating modes)
  - Level of detail (determined by application)
  - Technical adequacy
- · Technical adequacy addressed by:
  - Application of consensus standards, including peer review and self-assessment
  - Focused staff review

#### RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS

- Part of NRC's Phased Approach to Improving PRA Quality
- Regulatory Guide 1.200, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities"
  - Issued for Trial Use in 2004
  - Currently only addresses internal events and NEI peer review process
  - Next revision will also address external events, except fires

# PURPOSE of RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATIONS PILOTS

- Provide Assistance in Clarifying Aspects of RG 1.200 & Associated SRP 19.1
  - Interpretation of documentation needs
  - Interpretation of requirements
  - Interpretation on staff positions
- Identify Specific Improvements to RG 1.200, SRP 19.1, ASME Standard, & NEI Self-Assessment Guidance
- Assess Licensees' Self-Assessment Approaches
- Gain Insights into Scope, Level of Detail, & Resources Needed for Licensee Submittals & Staff Review

# RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS: CURRENT STATUS

- All Pilots Completed
  - Columbia (EDG RI-AOT), Limerick (RI-TS 5B), South Texas (RI-TS 4B), San Onofre (Battery RI-AOT), Surry (10 CFR 50.69)
- ASME is revising the ASME Standard (Addendum B)
- Pending ASME Addendum B Issuance
  - RG 1.200 (including Appendix C on External Events) to be revised and issued for public comment by mid-Summer 2005
  - RG 1.200 to be formally issued for use by December 2005

# RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS: COMMON OBSERVATIONS

- PRAs are Well Constructed and PRA Staff are Very Knowledgeable of Their Models
- Documentation is Weakest Link
  - Should not consider updates/upgrades completed until documentation is completed (i.e., need to keep documentation up-to-date)
- Some Supporting Requirements are Ambiguous
  - Being addressed in Addendum B to ASME Standard

# RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS: COMMON OBSERVATIONS

- Definitions of Key Assumptions/Key Sources of Uncertainty Need to be Clarified
- Some Industry Interpretations of Standard are Too Narrow
- Peer Review Facts & Observations are Very Important
- Having a Standard to Benchmark Against Results in Improving the Quality of the PRAs

### RG 1.200 IMPLEMENTATION PILOTS: NEXT STEPS

- Industry/NRC compile lessons learned from pilots (March April 2005)
- Hold workshop on lessons learned (May)
- Provide feedback to ASME on Addendum B (May June 2005)
- Develop specific revisions to RG 1.200 and issue revised document (Summer 2005)
- Issue revised final RG 1.200 (December 2005)